

Decision Session: Executive Member for Transport & Planning: Written Representations

Thursday 12 July 2018, 2:00pm, Thornton Room, West Offices

Received from	Agenda Item	Comments
N Turton, local resident	9 - Consideration of results from the consultation in Rosedale Street and surrounding area following petitions received requesting Residents' Priority Parking	<p>“I have read the report about extending the R20 zone from Grange Street. I appreciate Rosedale Street is very narrow and it will be safer for everyone, to only have parking on one side. There are 8 houses on Rosedale Street and since a number are family houses some do have 2 cars.</p> <p>To accommodate this we could do with keeping the first place as you turn from Grange Street. There is a lot of room around this parking place so it would not inconvenience emergency services or delivery vehicles.</p> <p>At the far end of Rosedale Street we would appreciate there being room either side of the back lane for 2 cars to park, as we have now.</p> <p>I have discussed these points with Andy D’Agorne and Dave Taylor.</p> <p>We look forward to the residents parking scheme progressing.”</p>

Local Resident	9 - Consideration of results from the consultation in Rosedale Street and surrounding area following petitions received requesting Residents' Priority Parking	<ol style="list-style-type: none"> 1. "My wife and I are residents of the consultation area referred to in the above agenda item. We own one car. We are already directly affected by a parking restriction introduced to allow access for refuse collection vehicles. 2. Consequently we have to park for long periods on Rosedale Street in an area which is not immediately outside any of the homes of that street. 3. We note that the Report of the Corporate Director of Economy and Place would introduce residents' only parking bays AND double yellow lines which combined will cover the entirety of Rosedale Street. 4. The knock on effect of the proposal will therefore be far greater on the surrounding streets than the parking bays alone. 5. We note that the petition from the residents of Rosedale Street stated that their ability to park was affected by <ol style="list-style-type: none"> a. Residents of Grange Street and their friends and visitors b. People who park all day and walk into the city centre to work and shop c. Workers and customers of local businesses d. Patrons of York Barbican Conference and events. <p>The petition did not mention parking by residents of Farndale, Hartoft, and Levisham streets or Lastingham Terrace.</p> 6. We note that the restrictions on parking will be more than double the requirement for eight parking bays and the use of double yellow lines will operate 24 hours a day, seven days a
----------------	--	---

		<p>week. The proposal made in Appendix G regarding Rosedale Street is therefore disproportionate to the aims of the consultation, the concerns expressed by the original petitioners and the requirements of the mere eight houses in Rosedale Street</p> <p>7. Consequently we believe that the consultation area should be treated as whole rather than individual streets. You will be aware that 63% of the total residents consulted were against more parking restrictions. Further parking restrictions in Rosedale Street should therefore be rejected.”</p>
--	--	--